

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.              | FILING DATE                                   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.             | CONFIRMATION NO.  |  |
|------------------------------|---|----------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| 09/361,829                   | 07/27/1999                                    | ELLEN M. HEATH       | 1074.003US1                     | 6019              |  |
| 27073                        | 7590 02/21/2002                               |                      |                                 |                   |  |
| FOGG SLIFER & POLGLAZE, P.A. |   |                      | EXAMINER                        |                   |  |
|                              | P.O. BOX 581009<br>MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55458-1009 |                      |                                 | ALLEN, MARIANNE P |  |
|                              |   |                      | ART UNIT                        | PAPER NUMBER      |  |
|                              |   |                      | 1631<br>DATE MAILED: 02/21/2002 | /3                |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 6  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |
|--|---|---|
| Advisory Action  | 09/361,829  | HEATH ET AL.  |
| •  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |
|  | Marianne P. Allen   | 1631  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap  | pears on the cover sheet with   | the correspondence address  |
| THE REPLY FILED 08 February 2002 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Applexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.   | o avoid abandonment of this a<br>: (1) a timely filed amendmen<br>peal (with appeal fee); or (3)  | application. A proper reply to a<br>it which places the application in  |
| PERIOD FOR I   | REPLY [check either a) or b)]   |   |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorte (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for<br>r than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS (<br>date on which the petition under 37 C<br>tension and the corresponding amoun<br>ned statutory period for reply originally | date of the final rejection.  DF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee tof the fee. The appropriate extension fee under set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in |
| A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella     37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR).  |   |   |
| 2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered  | •   |   |
| (a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require fu  | rther consideration and/or sea  | arch (see NOTE below);  |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Not  | e below);   |   |
| <ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application</li><li>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>  | on in better form for appeal by   | y materially reducing or simplifying the  |
| (d) they present additional claims without can   | celing a corresponding numb   | er of finally rejected claims.  |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .  |   |   |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej  | ection(s):  |   |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   | uld be allowable if submitted   | in a separate, timely filed amendment   |
| 5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request application in condition for allowance because:   |   | considered but does NOT place the   |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  | because it is not directed SO   | LELY to issues which were newly   |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendm explanation of how the new or amended claims  |   |   |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow   | ws:   |   |
| Claim(s) allowed: none.  |   |   |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .  |   |   |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-19.   |   |   |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 20-22.  |   |   |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on  | is a) □ approved or b) □  |   |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State  | ment(s)( PTO-1449) Paper N  | o(s)  |
| 10. Other:   |   | o(s) Mariane Palle  |
|  |   | Marianne P. Allen<br>Primary Examiner<br>Art Unit: 1631   |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)  | Advisory Action   | Part of Paper No. 13  |

• Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/361,829

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendments add limitations that have not been previously searched or considered and therefore would require further consideration.

^